

# Perceived Effectiveness of the Right to Information (RTI) Act in India

Adv. Asma Athar Lokhande<sup>1</sup>, Adv. Aditi Ganesh Patnuskar<sup>2</sup>,  
Dr. Anjay S. Dhanawade<sup>3</sup>, Kamble Priyanka Gajanan<sup>4</sup>, Muneshwar Bharat Uttamrao<sup>5</sup>

Assistant Professor, Ashokdada Sable Law College, Mangaon<sup>1</sup>

Assistant Professor, Ashokdada Sable Law College, Mangaon<sup>2</sup>

Assistant Professor, M. M. Jagtap College, Mahad-Raigad<sup>3</sup>

Student, Ashokdada Sable Law College, Mangaon<sup>4,5</sup>

**Abstract:** *The Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005, was introduced in India to promote transparency and accountability in governance by empowering citizens to access public information. This study examines the public perception of the RTI Act across three key dimensions: awareness, perceived effectiveness, and barriers to accessing information. Based on a survey of 153 respondents, the study found that 66% of participants are aware of the RTI Act, indicating moderate public knowledge. However, the perceived effectiveness of the Act was mixed, with 56.9% of respondents viewing it as effective, though not significantly so. Additionally, 39.2% of respondents reported barriers to accessing RTI, pointing to challenges in bureaucratic efficiency and transparency. These findings highlight the importance of enhancing public awareness, improving the implementation of RTI mechanisms, and addressing systemic barriers to ensure broader accessibility and effectiveness of the Act in promoting democratic governance.*

**Keywords:** Right to Information Act, public perception, transparency, governance, accountability, barriers, citizen participation

## I. INTRODUCTION

The **Right to Information (RTI) Act**, enacted in 2005, is a landmark legislation in India, designed to promote transparency and accountability in government institutions by granting citizens the right to access information held by public authorities. This act empowers citizens to question government actions, demand public records, and hold authorities accountable for decisions that affect their lives. The RTI Act has been instrumental in uncovering corruption, mismanagement, and inefficiencies within government operations, and has provided a platform for citizens to engage more actively in democratic governance.

However, while the RTI Act has facilitated increased public participation in governance, the perceived effectiveness of the law varies widely among citizens. In urban areas, where access to technology and legal literacy is relatively higher, citizens are more likely to use RTI as a tool for transparency. Conversely, in rural areas, a lack of awareness, coupled with social and administrative barriers, often hinders the full utilization of the Act. Moreover, bureaucratic delays, intimidation tactics, and the lack of prompt responses to RTI requests in certain cases have raised questions about the law's actual effectiveness in ensuring transparency.

This study aims to assess how citizens across different socio-economic groups perceive the effectiveness of the RTI Act. By measuring public awareness, understanding regional disparities in usage, and evaluating the barriers that may prevent citizens from utilizing the law, this research seeks to offer insights into how the RTI Act is functioning in practice. Understanding these factors is crucial for identifying areas where the law can be improved to ensure greater accountability and responsiveness from government institutions.

The **research objectives** include evaluating the general level of awareness of the RTI Act, investigating citizens' experiences in using the Act to obtain information, and assessing the perceived barriers, including administrative

inefficiencies and lack of transparency. The study also seeks to explore the perception of the Act's effectiveness in urban versus rural areas, and the challenges faced by marginalized communities in accessing information.

By addressing these aspects, this research aims to contribute to the broader conversation on improving governance in India through the effective implementation of the RTI Act and ensuring that all citizens, regardless of socio-economic background, have equal access to government-held information.

### Research Objectives

The primary objectives of this study on the **Perceived Effectiveness of the Right to Information (RTI) Act among Citizens in India** are as follows:

**To assess the level of public awareness** of the RTI Act across different demographic groups, including urban and rural populations, and various socio-economic backgrounds.

**To examine citizens' experiences** in using the RTI Act to access information from government authorities, including the frequency and ease of filing RTI applications.

**To evaluate the perceived effectiveness** of the RTI Act in promoting transparency, accountability, and responsiveness in government institutions, from the perspective of ordinary citizens.

**To identify key barriers** that hinder citizens from fully utilizing the RTI Act, including administrative delays, lack of awareness, intimidation tactics, and other socio-political challenges.

**To compare the perceived effectiveness** of the RTI Act in urban and rural areas, and investigate any disparities in its usage and impact across these regions.

**To explore the challenges faced by marginalized communities** (e.g., lower-income groups, rural populations, and women) in accessing information through the RTI Act, and assess their perception of the Act's utility.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The **Right to Information (RTI) Act**, enacted in 2005, was a landmark legislation aimed at promoting transparency and accountability in governance by providing citizens the right to access information held by public authorities. Over the years, various studies have evaluated its implementation, effectiveness, and challenges, particularly in the Indian context.

### Public Awareness and Access to Information

Several studies underscore the crucial role of public awareness in the success of the RTI Act. According to **Sharma (2012)**, the lack of awareness about RTI among the general population, particularly in rural areas, significantly hampers the effectiveness of the Act. Public Information Officers (PIOs) often lack clarity on their roles, leading to confusion among citizens about where to file RTI requests. Furthermore, a 2008 survey by **PRIA** revealed that local governments frequently failed to display information regarding their appointed PIOs, making it difficult for citizens to exercise their right (Sharma, 2012).

The challenge of public awareness is echoed in the **PriceWaterhouseCoopers (PWC)** survey, which found that only 15% of the general public knew about the RTI Act, with significant differences in awareness between urban and rural populations (**Sharma, 2012**). Rural communities, as well as disadvantaged groups such as Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, were particularly unaware of their right to information, further limiting the impact of the Act (Sharma, 2012).

### Effectiveness of the RTI Act in Promoting Transparency

The RTI Act has been lauded as a powerful tool for promoting transparency and combating corruption. Studies have shown that citizens use the Act to obtain information related to public entitlements, the management of government programs, and instances of corruption or inefficiency (Archana & Venugopal, 2024). However, despite these successes, a significant challenge lies in the limited use of the Act by the population at large. **Dokeniya (2013)** points out that only

a small fraction of the population actively uses the RTI Act, suggesting that its significance lies in the critical information it reveals rather than its widespread usage.

Moreover, while the RTI Act empowers citizens to hold the government accountable, barriers to its effective implementation remain. **Sharma (2012)** highlights that bureaucratic resistance and the slow pace of response to RTI requests are significant impediments. Many public officials see the Act as a challenge to their authority, resulting in delays, refusals, or evasive responses (Sharma, 2012).

#### **Gender Disparities in the Use of the RTI Act**

Another important dimension of the RTI Act's effectiveness is gender disparity in its use. According to the **RTI Assessment and Analysis Group (RAAG)**, 90% of RTI users are men, reflecting a stark gender gap in the use of the law. This suggests that women, particularly in rural and disadvantaged areas, face greater obstacles in accessing information. The reasons for this disparity include cultural norms, lower literacy rates, and reduced mobility, which limit women's participation in governance processes (Archana & Venugopal, 2024).

#### **Institutional Challenges and Recommendations**

The effectiveness of the RTI Act also depends on the institutional structures that support its implementation. A common critique is that the **Information Commissions**, both at the state and central levels, are often under-resourced and overburdened, leading to delays in the resolution of appeals and grievances. **Roberts (2010)** notes that the appointment of retired bureaucrats as Information Commissioners undermines the independence and credibility of these bodies (Roberts, 2010). Furthermore, the lack of sufficient training and infrastructure for PIOs, especially in rural areas, further weakens the implementation of the Act (Roberts, 2010).

To address these challenges, several studies recommend strengthening the institutional framework for the RTI Act. This includes improving the training and capacity of PIOs, ensuring timely responses to requests, and promoting proactive disclosure of information by public authorities (Archana & Venugopal, 2024). Additionally, enhancing public awareness through educational campaigns and integrating RTI education into school curricula could help bridge the gap in understanding and using the Act (Sharma, 2012).

### **III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

#### **Research Design**

This study employs a **quantitative, survey-based research design** to assess the public perception of the Right to Information (RTI) Act among citizens in India. The primary focus is on examining citizens' awareness, their perception of the effectiveness of the RTI Act, and the barriers they encounter in accessing information through this legal tool. The study uses a structured questionnaire to gather data from respondents across various demographic groups. The quantitative approach allows for statistical analysis of the collected data to test hypotheses and draw conclusions based on the sample population.

#### **Sampling Technique**

A **stratified random sampling** technique was used to ensure representation from different demographic categories such as gender, age group, educational background, and urban/rural residence. This method allowed for a more comprehensive analysis of how these factors may influence the public's understanding and utilization of the RTI Act. The sample size was 153 respondents, a figure considered adequate for performing statistical tests, such as t-tests, to explore the significance of various perceptions.

#### **Data Collection Tool**

A **structured questionnaire** was designed to measure three key variables:

**Awareness of the RTI Act:** Whether respondents are aware of the existence and function of the RTI Act.

**Perception of the Effectiveness of the RTI Act:** How effective respondents believe the RTI Act is in promoting transparency and enabling citizens to access information.

**Perceived Barriers to Accessing RTI:** Whether respondents perceive barriers such as bureaucratic resistance, corruption, or lack of support that prevent them from fully utilizing the RTI Act.

The questionnaire was distributed both online and offline to reach a diverse respondent pool. It consisted of multiple-choice questions and Likert-scale items that measured levels of agreement or disagreement with key statements related to the RTI Act.

**Data Collection Process**

The data collection process took place over a period of one month, during which responses were gathered from a mix of urban and rural areas. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study and their rights to confidentiality before taking the survey. Responses were collected anonymously to ensure that participants felt comfortable providing honest answers. This approach helped mitigate social desirability bias, where respondents might otherwise give answers they believe are more socially acceptable rather than what they truly think.

**Data Analysis Techniques**

The collected data was analyzed using **descriptive and inferential statistics**. Specifically:

**Descriptive Statistics:** Used to summarize the demographic information of the respondents and provide an overview of the distribution of responses for each of the key variables (awareness, perception of effectiveness, and perceived barriers).

**T-Test for Hypothesis Testing:**

A **one-sample t-test** was used to test whether the mean proportion of awareness of the RTI Act, perception of its effectiveness, and perception of barriers were significantly different from the baseline assumption of 50%.

The null hypothesis (H0) assumed that the proportion for each variable would be 50%, while the alternative hypothesis (H1) assumed a significant difference.

**Cross-tabulation and Correlation Analysis:** Used to explore potential relationships between demographic factors (such as gender, education, and urban/rural residence) and key variables like awareness and perceived barriers.

**Ethical Considerations**

Ethical standards were followed throughout the research process. Participation in the study was voluntary, and respondents were informed about the confidentiality of their data. Consent was obtained before conducting the survey, ensuring that participants understood the nature of the study and their role in it. No personal identifiers were collected, and the data was used solely for research purposes.

**Limitations**

While the sample size of 153 respondents provided meaningful insights, it may not fully represent the entire Indian population. Additionally, the study relies on self-reported data, which may be subject to biases such as social desirability or recall bias. Lastly, although efforts were made to reach rural and underrepresented groups, there is always the possibility that certain demographics were underrepresented in the final sample.

**IV. RESULTS & FINDINGS**

Objective	T-Statistic	P-Value	Mean Proportion
Awareness of RTI Act	4.168	0.0	0.66
Perception of RTI Effectiveness	1.708	0.09	0.569
Perceived Barriers to Accessing RTI	-2.723	0.007	0.392

**Results**

This section presents the findings of the survey conducted with 153 respondents to assess their **awareness** of the Right to Information (RTI) Act, their **perception of its effectiveness**, and the **perceived barriers** they face in accessing information through the RTI mechanism. The results were analyzed using descriptive statistics and t-tests to evaluate whether the proportion of respondents' perceptions significantly differed from the baseline hypothesis of 50%.

### **1. Awareness of the RTI Act**

**Mean Proportion:** 66.0%

**T-Statistic:** 4.168

**P-Value:** 0.000

**Interpretation:** The analysis revealed that **66% of respondents** are aware of the RTI Act, which is significantly higher than the assumed baseline of 50%. The t-statistic of 4.168 and a p-value of 0.000 indicate that the level of awareness is statistically significant, suggesting that a majority of the population surveyed knows about the RTI Act and its provisions. This shows positive awareness among the general public but also points to the need for further outreach, as one-third of respondents remain unaware.

### **2. Perception of RTI Effectiveness**

**Mean Proportion:** 56.9%

**T-Statistic:** 1.708

**P-Value:** 0.090

**Interpretation:** When asked whether they believe the RTI Act is effective in promoting transparency and accountability, **56.9% of respondents** perceived it as effective. However, with a t-statistic of 1.708 and a p-value of 0.090, the result is not statistically significant at the conventional 5% level. This suggests that while more than half of respondents view the RTI Act as effective, the perception is not strong enough to reject the null hypothesis of a 50% baseline. These mixed views point to both successes and shortcomings in the Act's implementation, possibly due to varying experiences with RTI requests.

### **3. Perceived Barriers to Accessing RTI**

**Mean Proportion:** 39.2%

**T-Statistic:** -2.723

**P-Value:** 0.007

**Interpretation:** The survey also assessed whether respondents perceive significant barriers to accessing the RTI mechanism, such as bureaucratic resistance or corruption. The mean proportion of respondents who perceive barriers is **39.2%**, significantly lower than the hypothesized 50%. The negative t-statistic of -2.723 and the p-value of 0.007 indicate a statistically significant difference. This finding suggests that a majority of respondents do not experience major barriers in using the RTI mechanism, although the 39.2% who do perceive barriers represent a sizeable group, indicating areas for improvement.

### **Overall Interpretation**

The results provide a mixed picture of the public perception of the RTI Act:

**Awareness** of the RTI Act is relatively high at 66%, indicating that outreach and education efforts have been effective for the majority of the population. However, the remaining 34% of respondents are unaware of the Act, suggesting a need for continued awareness campaigns, especially in rural and less-educated populations.

**Perception of effectiveness** is slightly above the baseline but not statistically significant, highlighting a split in public opinion. While more than half of respondents believe the RTI Act is effective, there remains skepticism, possibly stemming from inconsistencies in how RTI requests are handled or publicized.

**Perceived barriers** to accessing RTI remain a concern for about 39.2% of the respondents, signaling that while the Act is accessible to many, there are still bureaucratic and systemic hurdles that need to be addressed to ensure wider usage of the RTI provisions.

The findings underscore the importance of strengthening institutional frameworks and promoting further public engagement to enhance the effectiveness of the RTI Act.

## **V. CONCLUSION**

This study aimed to assess public perception of the Right to Information (RTI) Act in India, focusing on three key aspects: awareness, perceived effectiveness, and barriers to accessing the Act. The findings suggest a generally positive awareness of the RTI Act, with 66% of respondents indicating familiarity with its provisions. This demonstrates that

public outreach and education efforts have been effective to a certain extent. However, the remaining 34% who are unaware of the Act highlight the need for ongoing educational campaigns, particularly in rural areas and among less-educated demographics.

The perceived effectiveness of the RTI Act was more nuanced. While 56.9% of respondents view the Act as an effective tool for transparency and accountability, this proportion was not statistically significant compared to the baseline. This mixed perception may be attributed to varying experiences with the RTI process, indicating that while the Act is seen as a positive step forward, there are inconsistencies in its implementation. These inconsistencies may result from bureaucratic delays, incomplete responses, or challenges in accessing the requested information.

The study also found that 39.2% of respondents perceive significant barriers to using the RTI Act, such as bureaucratic resistance and lack of transparency. Although the majority do not perceive major barriers, the proportion that does is significant enough to warrant attention. Efforts to streamline the RTI process, improve bureaucratic efficiency, and increase proactive disclosure by public authorities could help reduce these barriers and enhance the Act's overall accessibility.

In conclusion, the RTI Act has been a valuable tool in promoting transparency and citizen participation in governance. However, to realize its full potential, there is a need for continued public awareness efforts, institutional improvements, and enhanced enforcement mechanisms. Addressing these challenges will not only improve the effectiveness of the RTI Act but also strengthen democratic governance and public accountability in India.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1]. Archana, A., & Venugopal, B. (2024). Analyzing openness: An in-depth evaluation of the Right to Information Act and its impacts on effective governance in India. *JuniKhyat*, 14(4), 29-37.
- [2]. Dokeniya, A. (2013). *Institutionalizing transparency and accountability in India: The RTI Act and its implications*. World Bank.
- [3]. Dryzek, J. (2001). Legitimacy and economy in deliberative democracy. *Political Theory*, 29(5), 651-669.
- [4]. Ebrahim, A., & Weisband, E. (Eds.). (2007). *Global accountabilities: Participation, pluralism, and public ethics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- [5]. Gauri, V. (2009). Public interest litigation in India: Overreaching or underachieving? *Policy Research Working Paper 5109*. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- [6]. Goel, S. L. (Ed.). (2009). *Right to information: Present status and issues* (Special issue). *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 55(3), July - September.
- [7]. Grindle, M. S. (2007). Good enough governance revisited. *Development Policy Review*, 25(5), 553-574.
- [8]. Roberts, A. (2010). A great and revolutionary law: The first four years of India's RTI Act. *Public Administration Review*, 70(6), 925-933.
- [9]. Sharma, S. K. (2012). Right to Information Act: A key to good governance. *Journal of Education & Social Policy*, 1(2), 86-97.