

# Gender and Social Inclusion in Rural Judicial Reforms

Adv. Bharat Balkrushna Gavande<sup>1</sup>, Adv. Gauri Gaurav Desai<sup>2</sup>,  
Dr. B. Lokhande<sup>3</sup>, Snehal Yashwant Khidbide<sup>4</sup>, Swati Moreshwar Mokal<sup>5</sup>

Assistant Professor, Ashokdada Sable Law College, Mangaon<sup>1</sup>

Assistant Professor, Ashokdada Sable Law College, Mangaon<sup>2</sup>

Principle, D.G Tatkare Arts, Commerce College, Tala<sup>3</sup>

Student, Ashokdada Sable Law College, Mangaon<sup>4,5</sup>

**Abstract:** *This research paper explores the critical issue of gender and social inclusion within the context of rural judicial reforms. Access to justice is a fundamental human right and essential for upholding the rule of law. However, in many rural areas, particularly in developing countries, access to formal judicial systems remains limited, disproportionately affecting women and marginalized groups. Through a descriptive analysis of data collected from 190 respondents, this study examines awareness, accessibility, perceptions of fairness, and the inclusion of women and marginalized groups within rural judicial systems. The findings reveal several significant challenges. Awareness of judicial reforms is uneven, with a notable portion of the population being unaware of ongoing reforms. Accessibility to legal services remains a significant barrier, particularly for women and marginalized communities, with a substantial number of respondents indicating that legal services are not readily accessible. Perceptions of fairness within the judicial system are mixed, with nearly half of the respondents viewing the system as unfair. While there has been moderate progress in the inclusion of women in judicial processes, the representation of marginalized groups in judicial reforms is still inadequate.*

*The study underscores the need for targeted interventions to address these challenges. Increasing awareness through education, improving the accessibility of legal services, ensuring fairness in judicial processes, and enhancing the representation of marginalized communities in reform initiatives are essential for creating a more equitable and inclusive judicial system in rural areas. These reforms are crucial for ensuring that all individuals, particularly those who are most vulnerable, can access justice and participate fully in their communities.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The pursuit of justice is a fundamental human right and a cornerstone of democratic societies, ensuring that all individuals, regardless of their social standing, can seek redress, defend their rights, and uphold the rule of law. However, in many parts of the world, especially in rural areas, the reality is starkly different. Access to justice remains elusive for vast segments of the population, particularly for marginalized groups such as women, ethnic minorities, and the economically disadvantaged. In these areas, the legal system often fails to provide equitable solutions, exacerbating existing social inequalities. This paper explores the critical issue of gender and social inclusion in rural judicial reforms, examining how these reforms can be designed and implemented to ensure that justice is not only accessible but also equitable for all, particularly the most vulnerable.

Rural areas, characterized by geographic isolation, limited infrastructure, and socio-economic challenges, present unique barriers to accessing justice. Formal judicial systems, typically centered in urban areas, are often distant and difficult to reach for rural populations. The costs associated with accessing these systems—such as transportation, legal fees, and time away from work—are prohibitively high for many rural residents. Furthermore, the lack of legal literacy and awareness in these areas means that many individuals are unaware of their rights or how to assert them, leaving them vulnerable to exploitation and injustice.

Gender and social inclusion in the context of rural judicial reforms are particularly pressing issues. Women in rural areas often face compounded disadvantages, including lower levels of education, economic dependency, and social norms that restrict their autonomy. These factors make it difficult for women to access justice, particularly in cases involving domestic violence, inheritance rights, and land ownership. Similarly, marginalized social groups, such as ethnic minorities and lower-caste individuals, often find themselves at the periphery of the legal system, with their cases dismissed or inadequately addressed due to systemic biases and discrimination.

Judicial reforms aimed at enhancing access to justice in rural areas must therefore prioritize gender and social inclusion. Such reforms need to address the specific barriers that women and marginalized groups face, ensuring that these individuals are not only able to access the legal system but also receive fair and just outcomes. This requires a multifaceted approach, including legal education and awareness campaigns, the decentralization of judicial services, the integration of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, and the training of judicial officers in gender sensitivity and social inclusion.

Legal education and awareness are crucial components of any judicial reform aimed at enhancing gender and social inclusion. In many rural areas, women and marginalized groups are unaware of their legal rights and the mechanisms available to protect those rights. This lack of awareness is often compounded by cultural norms that discourage women and disadvantaged groups from seeking justice, particularly in cases involving family or community matters. Legal education campaigns, therefore, must be designed to reach these populations, using culturally appropriate methods and languages. These campaigns should not only inform individuals of their rights but also empower them to assert those rights, providing the tools and knowledge necessary to navigate the legal system.

Decentralization of judicial services is another critical element in ensuring gender and social inclusion in rural judicial reforms. By bringing judicial services closer to rural populations, decentralization can reduce the physical and financial barriers that prevent women and marginalized groups from accessing justice. Mobile courts, for example, have been successfully implemented in several countries, bringing legal services directly to remote communities. These courts can play a vital role in addressing the specific needs of rural populations, particularly women and marginalized groups, by providing accessible and timely justice. Additionally, the establishment of local legal aid centers can help to ensure that individuals who cannot afford legal representation still have access to legal advice and assistance.

The integration of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms into rural judicial reforms can also enhance gender and social inclusion. ADR processes, such as mediation and conciliation, offer a more flexible and culturally sensitive approach to dispute resolution, which can be particularly beneficial in rural areas where formal legal systems may be viewed with suspicion or distrust. ADR can be tailored to address the specific needs of women and marginalized groups, allowing for the resolution of disputes in a manner that is respectful of local customs and traditions while still upholding principles of justice and equality. For example, community-based ADR processes can provide a safe and supportive environment for women to seek redress for issues such as domestic violence, without the stigma or retribution that might accompany formal court proceedings.

Training judicial officers in gender sensitivity and social inclusion is essential to the success of rural judicial reforms. Judges, lawyers, and court officials must be equipped with the knowledge and skills to recognize and address the specific challenges faced by women and marginalized groups in the legal system. This training should include an understanding of the social and cultural contexts in which these individuals live, as well as the systemic biases that can influence judicial decision-making. By fostering a more inclusive and equitable legal culture, such training can help to ensure that judicial reforms do not merely replicate existing inequalities but actively work to dismantle them.

In addition to these specific strategies, it is crucial that rural judicial reforms are informed by the voices and experiences of the communities they are designed to serve. Participatory approaches to reform, which involve women and marginalized groups in the design and implementation of judicial reforms, can help to ensure that these reforms are responsive to the needs and priorities of those most affected by the justice gap. This requires a commitment to ongoing consultation and collaboration with rural communities, as well as the development of mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating the impact of reforms on gender and social inclusion.

Finally, it is important to recognize that judicial reforms cannot be viewed in isolation but must be part of a broader strategy for social and economic development in rural areas. Access to justice is intrinsically linked to issues such as poverty, education, and health, and efforts to enhance gender and social inclusion in the legal system must be accompanied by initiatives to address these broader social determinants of justice. This holistic approach is essential to creating an environment in which all individuals, regardless of their gender or social status, can access justice and participate fully in the life of their community.

Gender and social inclusion are critical considerations in the design and implementation of rural judicial reforms. By addressing the specific barriers that women and marginalized groups face in accessing justice, these reforms can help to create a more equitable and just legal system that serves the needs of all individuals, particularly those who are most vulnerable. Through a combination of legal education, decentralization, ADR, training, and participatory approaches, rural judicial reforms can play a vital role in advancing gender equality and social inclusion, contributing to the broader goal of achieving justice for all.

## **II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Agarwal (1994) explores the intersection of gender and land rights in South Asia, highlighting the significant disparities faced by women in accessing and controlling land. Her work emphasizes the importance of secure land rights for women, not just as an economic resource but also as a means of social empowerment and security. This perspective is crucial in understanding the broader implications of gender inequality in rural judicial systems, where land disputes often form the basis of legal conflicts.

Baxi (1982) offers a critical examination of the Indian legal system, identifying systemic issues such as delays, corruption, and the lack of accessibility for marginalized populations, particularly in rural areas. His analysis provides a foundational understanding of the structural challenges that any judicial reform must address to be effective, especially in the context of gender and social inclusion.

Bhatt (2015) delves into the complexities of gender, justice, and law in India, focusing on how legal frameworks often fail to protect the rights of women, particularly in rural areas. She argues that despite legal provisions aimed at ensuring gender equality, societal norms and patriarchal structures continue to impede women's access to justice, necessitating reforms that are sensitive to these socio-cultural realities.

Chandrachud (2017) discusses the principles of judicial independence, impartiality, and accountability within the Indian judiciary. His work reflects on how these principles must be upheld to ensure that judicial reforms effectively address the needs of all citizens, including women and marginalized groups in rural areas. Chandrachud's reflections are essential for understanding how judicial integrity impacts the effectiveness of reforms aimed at social inclusion.

Cottrell and Ghai (1994) examine the role of paralegals in providing primary justice services in Africa, highlighting how these intermediaries can bridge the gap between formal legal systems and rural populations. Their insights are particularly relevant for understanding how similar approaches could be applied in India to enhance access to justice for women and marginalized communities in rural areas.

Crenshaw (1991) introduces the concept of intersectionality, emphasizing how overlapping social identities, such as race, gender, and class, create unique modes of discrimination and oppression. Her work is instrumental in framing the discussion on gender and social inclusion in rural judicial reforms, where the intersection of gender with other social factors like caste and economic status can significantly influence access to justice.

Desai and Patel (2017) focus on the human rights perspective of women and law in rural India, highlighting the challenges faced by women in accessing justice due to entrenched patriarchal norms and the lack of legal literacy. Their research underscores the need for judicial reforms that are not only legally robust but also socially transformative, addressing the root causes of gender-based discrimination.

Dube (1988) provides an anthropological perspective on the construction of gender in Hindu society, particularly in rural India. Her analysis of how gender roles are socially constructed and maintained through cultural practices is crucial for understanding the barriers women face in accessing justice and how judicial reforms can be designed to overcome these barriers.

Galanter (1989) explores the relationship between law and society in modern India, discussing how legal systems often reflect and reinforce existing social hierarchies. His work provides a critical lens for examining how judicial reforms can either perpetuate or challenge these hierarchies, particularly in rural areas where traditional power structures are strong.

Gooneskere (1998) discusses the role of civil society in the legal empowerment of the poor, emphasizing how grassroots initiatives can enhance access to justice for marginalized populations. Her insights are valuable for considering how similar strategies can be employed in India to support rural judicial reforms that prioritize gender and social inclusion.

Kapur and Cossman (1996) engage with feminist legal theory, exploring how law can be both a tool of oppression and a means of empowerment for women in India. Their work highlights the importance of a critical approach to legal reforms that takes into account the ways in which law intersects with gender, especially in rural contexts where traditional and formal legal systems coexist.

MacKinnon (1989) provides a foundational feminist critique of the state and its legal systems, arguing that law often serves to maintain patriarchal power structures. Her theoretical framework is essential for analyzing how rural judicial reforms can be designed to challenge these structures and promote gender equity.

Merry (2006) examines how international human rights law is translated into local justice practices, with a focus on gender violence. Her work is particularly relevant for understanding how global legal norms can be adapted to the specific cultural and social contexts of rural India to enhance the effectiveness of judicial reforms.

Mohanty (1991) critiques Western feminist scholarship for often overlooking the complexities of women's lives in the Global South. Her call for more context-specific and nuanced approaches to gender analysis is critical for shaping judicial reforms that are truly inclusive and responsive to the needs of rural women in India.

Nussbaum (2000) introduces the capabilities approach, arguing that true justice involves ensuring individuals have the freedom to achieve well-being in various aspects of life. Her framework is particularly useful for assessing the effectiveness of rural judicial reforms in promoting gender and social inclusion, as it shifts the focus from mere legal access to actual outcomes and opportunities.

Sen (1999) explores the concept of development as freedom, emphasizing the role of justice systems in enabling individuals to lead lives they have reason to value. His approach highlights the importance of creating judicial reforms that not only provide legal access but also enhance the substantive freedoms of women and marginalized groups in rural areas.

Shankar (2002) addresses the specific challenges of accessing justice in rural India, focusing on how systemic issues in the judiciary disproportionately affect the rural poor. His work provides a detailed analysis of the barriers to justice in rural areas and suggests reforms that could help bridge the justice gap for marginalized communities.

Srivastava (2015) critically analyzes gender justice and law in India, discussing how legal reforms can be both a tool for advancing women's rights and a reflection of existing social inequalities. Her analysis is crucial for understanding how rural judicial reforms can be crafted to effectively address the unique challenges faced by women in these areas.

The United Nations Development Programme (2005) provides a practitioner's guide to a human rights-based approach to access to justice, emphasizing the importance of ensuring that judicial reforms are inclusive and equitable. This guide offers practical insights into how rural judicial reforms can be implemented in a way that prioritizes the rights and needs of marginalized groups, including women and lower-caste individuals.

### **III. ANALYSIS**

The analysis will include measures of central tendency (mean, median, mode) and dispersion (standard deviation, range) for each variable.

**Descriptive Statistics**

**Table 1: Gender Distribution of Respondents**

Gender	Frequency	Percentage
Male	98	51.6%
Female	92	48.4%
Total	190	100%

**Table 2: Awareness of Judicial Reforms**

Awareness Level	Frequency	Percentage
Very Aware	45	23.7%
Somewhat Aware	85	44.7%
Not Aware	60	31.6%
Total	190	100%

**Table 3: Perceived Accessibility of Legal Services**

Accessibility Level	Frequency	Percentage
Easily Accessible	30	15.8%
Moderately Accessible	95	50%
Not Accessible	65	34.2%
Total	190	100%

**Table 4: Perceptions of Fairness in the Judicial System**

Perception of Fairness	Frequency	Percentage
Very Fair	28	14.7%
Fair	82	43.2%
Not Fair	80	42.1%
Total	190	100%

**Table 5: Inclusion of Women in Judicial Processes**

Inclusion Level	Frequency	Percentage
High Inclusion	38	20%
Moderate Inclusion	102	53.7%
Low Inclusion	50	26.3%
Total	190	100%

**Table 6: Representation of Marginalized Groups in Judicial Reforms**

Representation Level	Frequency	Percentage
Well Represented	25	13.2%
Moderately Represented	97	51.1%
Poorly Represented	68	35.7%
Total	190	100%

**Gender Distribution:** The sample is nearly balanced in terms of gender, with 51.6% male and 48.4% female respondents.

**Awareness of Judicial Reforms:** Approximately 68.4% of respondents are aware of judicial reforms to some extent, with 23.7% being very aware.

**Accessibility of Legal Services:** Half of the respondents find legal services moderately accessible, but 34.2% report that they are not accessible.

**Perceptions of Fairness:** Opinions on the fairness of the judicial system are mixed, with 42.1% finding it not fair, while 57.9% perceive it as fair or very fair.

**Inclusion of Women:** A majority of respondents (73.7%) believe that women are moderately or highly included in judicial processes.

**Representation of Marginalized Groups:** While 51.1% feel that marginalized groups are moderately represented in judicial reforms, 35.7% believe they are poorly represented.

This descriptive analysis provides an overview of the respondents' views and experiences related to gender and social inclusion in rural judicial reforms. The data suggests that while there is some awareness and inclusion, significant challenges remain, particularly in terms of accessibility, fairness, and representation of marginalized groups.

#### IV. RESULTS

The descriptive analysis of the data collected from 190 respondents on "Gender and Social Inclusion in Rural Judicial Reforms" reveals several key insights into the current state of awareness, accessibility, fairness, and inclusion within rural judicial systems. These findings are crucial for understanding the challenges and opportunities in enhancing gender and social inclusion through judicial reforms.

##### Gender Distribution of Respondents

The gender distribution in the sample is nearly balanced, with 51.6% male and 48.4% female respondents. This distribution allows for a comprehensive analysis of gender perspectives on judicial reforms in rural areas. The near-equal representation of both genders ensures that the findings reflect diverse viewpoints and experiences, particularly in the context of gender and social inclusion.

##### Awareness of Judicial Reforms

Awareness of judicial reforms varies among respondents, with 68.4% reporting some level of awareness. Specifically, 23.7% of respondents are very aware, 44.7% are somewhat aware, and 31.6% are not aware at all. These results indicate that while a significant portion of the population is informed about ongoing judicial reforms, there remains a substantial percentage (31.6%) that lacks awareness. This gap in awareness highlights the need for targeted educational campaigns to increase knowledge and understanding of judicial reforms, particularly among marginalized and rural communities.

##### Accessibility of Legal Services

The perceived accessibility of legal services is a critical factor in determining the effectiveness of judicial reforms. The analysis shows that only 15.8% of respondents find legal services easily accessible, while half of the respondents (50%) perceive them as moderately accessible. Alarmingly, 34.2% of respondents report that legal services are not accessible. This suggests that despite efforts to improve legal accessibility, significant barriers remain for rural populations. The lack of accessible legal services can disproportionately affect women and marginalized groups, exacerbating existing inequalities and hindering access to justice.

##### Perceptions of Fairness in the Judicial System

Perceptions of fairness within the judicial system are mixed among respondents. While 14.7% view the system as very fair and 43.2% consider it fair, a significant portion (42.1%) believes that the system is not fair. This polarization in perceptions points to underlying issues within the judicial system that may contribute to a lack of trust, particularly among marginalized communities. The perception of unfairness can undermine the legitimacy of judicial reforms and deter individuals from seeking justice through formal legal channels.

##### Inclusion of Women in Judicial Processes

The inclusion of women in judicial processes is perceived as moderate to high by the majority of respondents. Specifically, 20% of respondents believe there is high inclusion, while 53.7% view it as moderate. However, 26.3% of respondents report low inclusion of women in these processes. These findings suggest that while progress has been made in incorporating women into the judicial system, there is still room for improvement. Ensuring greater inclusion of women in judicial processes is essential for promoting gender equity and ensuring that the legal system reflects the needs and experiences of all members of society.

#### Representation of Marginalized Groups in Judicial Reforms

The representation of marginalized groups in judicial reforms is another area of concern. Only 13.2% of respondents believe that these groups are well represented, while 51.1% consider their representation to be moderate. A significant 35.7% of respondents feel that marginalized groups are poorly represented in judicial reforms. This lack of representation can lead to reforms that do not fully address the needs of these communities, perpetuating systemic inequalities and limiting the effectiveness of judicial reforms in achieving social justice.

The results of this descriptive analysis underscore the importance of addressing key gaps in awareness, accessibility, fairness, and inclusion in rural judicial reforms. While there has been progress in certain areas, such as the moderate inclusion of women, significant challenges remain, particularly in ensuring that legal services are accessible and that marginalized groups are adequately represented. To enhance the effectiveness of rural judicial reforms, it is essential to implement targeted strategies that address these gaps, including increasing awareness of reforms, improving the accessibility of legal services, ensuring fairness in judicial processes, and promoting the inclusion of marginalized communities. By doing so, rural judicial reforms can contribute to a more equitable and just legal system that serves the needs of all individuals, particularly those who have historically been excluded from formal justice mechanisms.

The descriptive analysis of the data collected from 190 respondents on "Gender and Social Inclusion in Rural Judicial Reforms" reveals several key insights into the current state of awareness, accessibility, fairness, and inclusion within rural judicial systems. These findings are crucial for understanding the challenges and opportunities in enhancing gender and social inclusion through judicial reforms.

#### Gender Distribution of Respondents

The gender distribution in the sample is nearly balanced, with 51.6% male and 48.4% female respondents. This distribution allows for a comprehensive analysis of gender perspectives on judicial reforms in rural areas. The near-equal representation of both genders ensures that the findings reflect diverse viewpoints and experiences, particularly in the context of gender and social inclusion.

#### Awareness of Judicial Reforms

Awareness of judicial reforms varies among respondents, with 68.4% reporting some level of awareness. Specifically, 23.7% of respondents are very aware, 44.7% are somewhat aware, and 31.6% are not aware at all. These results indicate that while a significant portion of the population is informed about ongoing judicial reforms, there remains a substantial percentage (31.6%) that lacks awareness. This gap in awareness highlights the need for targeted educational campaigns to increase knowledge and understanding of judicial reforms, particularly among marginalized and rural communities.

#### Accessibility of Legal Services

The perceived accessibility of legal services is a critical factor in determining the effectiveness of judicial reforms. The analysis shows that only 15.8% of respondents find legal services easily accessible, while half of the respondents (50%) perceive them as moderately accessible. Alarming, 34.2% of respondents report that legal services are not accessible. This suggests that despite efforts to improve legal accessibility, significant barriers remain for rural populations. The lack of accessible legal services can disproportionately affect women and marginalized groups, exacerbating existing inequalities and hindering access to justice.

#### Perceptions of Fairness in the Judicial System

Perceptions of fairness within the judicial system are mixed among respondents. While 14.7% view the system as very fair and 43.2% consider it fair, a significant portion (42.1%) believes that the system is not fair. This polarization in perceptions points to underlying issues within the judicial system that may contribute to a lack of trust, particularly

among marginalized communities. The perception of unfairness can undermine the legitimacy of judicial reforms and deter individuals from seeking justice through formal legal channels.

#### **Inclusion of Women in Judicial Processes**

The inclusion of women in judicial processes is perceived as moderate to high by the majority of respondents. Specifically, 20% of respondents believe there is high inclusion, while 53.7% view it as moderate. However, 26.3% of respondents report low inclusion of women in these processes. These findings suggest that while progress has been made in incorporating women into the judicial system, there is still room for improvement. Ensuring greater inclusion of women in judicial processes is essential for promoting gender equity and ensuring that the legal system reflects the needs and experiences of all members of society.

#### **Representation of Marginalized Groups in Judicial Reforms**

The representation of marginalized groups in judicial reforms is another area of concern. Only 13.2% of respondents believe that these groups are well represented, while 51.1% consider their representation to be moderate. A significant 35.7% of respondents feel that marginalized groups are poorly represented in judicial reforms. This lack of representation can lead to reforms that do not fully address the needs of these communities, perpetuating systemic inequalities and limiting the effectiveness of judicial reforms in achieving social justice.

### **V. CONCLUSION**

The results of this descriptive analysis underscore the importance of addressing key gaps in awareness, accessibility, fairness, and inclusion in rural judicial reforms. While there has been progress in certain areas, such as the moderate inclusion of women, significant challenges remain, particularly in ensuring that legal services are accessible and that marginalized groups are adequately represented. To enhance the effectiveness of rural judicial reforms, it is essential to implement targeted strategies that address these gaps, including increasing awareness of reforms, improving the accessibility of legal services, ensuring fairness in judicial processes, and promoting the inclusion of marginalized communities. By doing so, rural judicial reforms can contribute to a more equitable and just legal system that serves the needs of all individuals, particularly those who have historically been excluded from formal justice mechanisms.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1]. Agarwal, B. (1994). *A field of one's own: Gender and land rights in South Asia*. Cambridge University Press.
- [2]. Baxi, U. (1982). *The crisis of the Indian legal system*. Vikas Publishing House.
- [3]. Bhatt, N. (2015). *Gender, justice, and the law in India*. Oxford University Press.
- [4]. Chandrachud, A. (2017). *An independent, impartial, and accountable judiciary: Reflections on the Indian experience*. Oxford University Press.
- [5]. Cottrell, J., & Ghai, Y. (1994). *Between law and society: Paralegals and the provision of primary justice services in Africa*. Clarendon Press.
- [6]. Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241-1299.
- [7]. Desai, M., & Patel, R. (2017). Women and law in rural India: A human rights perspective. *Journal of Law and Society*, 44(3), 213-230.
- [8]. Dube, L. (1988). On the construction of gender: Hindu girls in patrilineal India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 23(18), WS11-WS19.
- [9]. Galanter, M. (1989). *Law and society in modern India*. Oxford University Press.
- [10]. Goonesekere, S. (1998). Legal empowerment of the poor: The role of civil society. *International Commission of Jurists*.
- [11]. Kapur, R., & Cossman, B. (1996). *Subversive sites: Feminist engagements with law in India*. Sage Publications.
- [12]. MacKinnon, C. A. (1989). *Toward a feminist theory of the state*. Harvard University Press.

- [13]. Merry, S. E. (2006). Human rights and gender violence: Translating international law into local justice. University of Chicago Press.
- [14]. Mohanty, C. T. (1991). Under western eyes: Feminist scholarship and colonial discourses. *Third World Women and the Politics of Feminism*, 51-80.
- [15]. Nussbaum, M. (2000). *Women and human development: The capabilities approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- [16]. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- [17]. Shankar, B. L. (2002). Justice for the rural poor: Access to courts in India. *Law, Social Justice & Global Development Journal*, 1, 1-12.
- [18]. Srivastava, S. (2015). Gender justice and law: A critical analysis. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 22(2), 199-217.
- [19]. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2005). *Programming for justice: Access for all. A practitioner's guide to a human rights-based approach to access to justice*. UNDP.